Fractured Reality: Lateral variations in fractured shales at outcrop, application for subsurface analogues

Susie Daniels, Jonny Imber, Richard Jones,
Jon Long, Max Wilkinson, David Oxlade, Seb Gilment

Geospatial Research Limited

Durham University

Aims

- To demonstrate variability in shale fracture properties in a relatively homogeneous shale at an unfaulted locality
- To derive a conceptual model linking the various fracture properties
- How this could help in production from fractured shale

Natural Fractures & Hydraulic Stimulation

“Local knowledge on fracturing is a good starting point in any area” King, 2012

“Understanding the nature of natural fracture networks is critical”, Kramer 2008

“…hydraulic frack stage placement shoulders on the need to understand the natural fracture system” Kennedy et al., 2012

“Not only do natural fractures increase the effective permeability of the reservoir, but they also affect the propagation pattern of the hydraulic fractures...” Kumar et al., 2016



After Gale et al 2007

Natural Fractures & Hydraulic Stimulation

Geospatial Research Limited

Mayerhofer et al., 2010:

- Natural fractures & microseismic >>stimulated reservoir volume (SRV)
- Reducing spacing (increasing total fracture length) improves well performance



Fig. 4—Estimating SRA from microseismic-mapping data.

Mayerhofer et al., 2010



Example calculations showing effect of **fracture spacing** in gas shale recovery.

Warpinski et al, 2009 (after Mayerhofer et al.)

Natural Fractures & Hydraulic Stimulation

NF routinely used in

- Well orientation
- Well spacing, stimulation strategy
- Frac stage positions (efficiency!)
- To model resources and development

Often only subsurface data

Fracture property	well data	seismic	outcrop
Orientation	(✓)	(✓)	(✓)
Spacing	(✓)	x	✓
Length / Height	x	x	✓
Aperture	✓	x	(✓)
Connectivity (topology)	(✓)	x	✓
Physical and geomechanical properties	✓	✓	✓
Fracture maturity	(✓)	x	✓
Background fracturing	(✓)	x	✓
Fracture behaviour with proximity to structures	(✓)	(✓)	✓
Local structures	(✓)	✓	x

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop



Extent of Lower Toarcian organic-rich sediments of NW Europe, McArthur et al., 2008.



Powell, 2010

- Thick shale-dominated sequences
- Excellent coastal exposure
- Fractured but unfaulted at Saltwick Nab
- Lower Jurassic
- Whitby Mudstone Fm
- Marginally oil mature
- Potential analogue for…
    - Posidonia Shale
    - Weald
    - Bowland-Hodder Shale



Howarth's 'beds', 1962

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop

Satellite imagery overlain with Howarth's beds #40 to 47, dipping gently to SW



Google Earth Image © 2017 DigitalGlobe

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop

Locations of data acquisition: outcrop transects (TR), photogrammetry (PG), lidar, aerial photos (AOI)



Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop



Typical fracture transect with tape measure.

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop



Photogrammetric model ('PG' on map).

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop



Lidar data. Aerial view looking down on top of the Nab, picked fractures shown in red.

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop



High resolution aerial photographs
(data courtesy of Northeast Coastal Observatory http://www.northeastcoastalobservatory.org.uk)

Geospatial Research Limited

Case Study: Whitby Mudstone Outcrop

- Lower Jurassic regional fracture sets
  - NNW-SSE – ‘R’
  - WNW-ESE – ‘G’ (secondary)
  - Systematic fractures
  - Noted across ~40km (Rawnsley,1992)
- (Also two additional sets of cross joints; sets “T” and “O”)



Natural hydraulic fractures

- Barren and vein filled
- Low spacing to length ratios
- Deflection around concretions
- Timing: set R oldest, rest contemporaneous
- Formed at depth

Case Study: Fracture Properties

Orientation



Case Study: Fracture Properties

Geospatial Research Limited





Fracture spacing













Case Study: Fracture Properties

Length vs intensity: Whitby Mudstone



Case Study: Fracture Properties

Geospatial Research Limited

In Summary

Sets	R	G	O / T
	Increasing fracture development ←		
Avg Spacing/ Length	*0.17*	*0.35*	*1.86*
Spacing (C_V)	*55% regular*	*27% regular*	*100% random*

Fracture spacing / length ratio rough proxy for maturity of fracture system

- Set R most mature
- Within set R the most mature sites >> regularly spaced fractures
- Set G less mature, three sites have regularly spaced fractures
- Sets T and O immature
- At maturity tend to have regularly spaced fractures
- *Consistent with an intermediate subcritical crack index*

Case Study: Story So Far

- Lateral variations in fracture development
  - 2 domains
- Quantified ranges of properties
- Tend to regularly spaced fracturing
- Could inform
  - Development plans
  - Improved fracture modelling
  - Improved prediction of well performance, based on natural fracturing,
- Degree of similarity with subsurface? (compare with well data, histories, mineralogy, succession to decide level of applicability)

Summary

Durham University

Geospatial Research Limited

Outcrop characterisation of fractures

- More data earlier
- Potential to predict fracture properties away from the wellbore
- Relatively cheap
- Predicting fracture-connected distances and fracture-bound volumes
- Better predictions of well performance and better well performance?